SINGLE BENCH

(4)

CF/1002

(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 1494 /2006

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

PETITIONER

Jagatpal Ram, son of Shri D.R. Nikunj, aged about 26 years, Assistant Teacher, Government Girls Primary School Jashpur Nagar, Distt. Jashpur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – Secretary, Department of School Education, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. District Education Officer – Jashpur (CG)

2622/06 Registry 20/11/06

RECEIVED-COPY 20/XII/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(22)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P.(S) No. 7484/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	09.01.2007 **Mr. A.N. Bhakta, counsel for the petitioner(s).** **Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents.** **With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties, the writ petition is heard finally and disposed of.** **Common order dictated in Writ Petition (S) No. 7484/2006 in open Court, passed separately.** **A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.** **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Subhash

P.T.O.

(23)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7484 OF 2006

Petitioner : Jagatpal Ram

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7486 OF 2006

Petitioner : Ganesh Ram Pradhan

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

And

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7487 OF 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Kamla Rani Sinha

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. A.N. Bhakta, counsel for the petitioner(s).
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 9th day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* vs. *Municipal Corporation, Durg and another*[1] .

(24)

2. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Subhash